IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
REVIEW PETITION {LODGING} NO.34 OF 2007
IN
NOTICE OF MOTION NO.3912 OF 2006
IN
APPEAL NO.813 OF 2006
IN
ARBITRATION PETITION NO.369 OF 2006
WITH
NOTICE OF MOTION NO.3157 OF 2007
IN
REVIEW PETITION {LODGING} NO.34 OF 2007
IN
NOTICE OF MOTION NO.3912 OF 2006
IN
APPEAL NO.813 OF 2006
IN
ARBITRATION PETITION NO.369 OF 2006

Nina Anil Shah .... Appellant
Vs.
Kusum Bhaskarrao Gorule @
Geetanjali Ganesh Sohane .... Respondent

Shri S.M. Sakhardande for the Appellant/
Review Petitioner.

Shri M.P. Rao, Senior Counsel with Dr. (Mrs.)
R.R. Ajinkya for the Respondents.

CORAM: R.M.S. KHANDEPARKAR &
Dr. D.Y. CHANDRACHUD, JJ.

DATED: SEPTEMBER 21, 2007

P.C:

Heard. It is the contention on behalf of the appellant that there was error on the part of the arbitrator in calculating the liability towards the expenses. The learned counsel for the appellant states that in order to enable the appellant to move necessary amendment to the memo of the appeal and thereafter to

take appropriate proceedings, the appellant craves leave to withdraw the present petition at this stage. The petition is accordingly allowed to be withdrawn and stands disposed of.

In view of the above, the notice of motion accordingly stands disposed of.

(Dr.D.Y.Chandrachud, J.) (R.M.S.Khandeparkar, J.)

sjs/S7rpl34.7